A Pilot Study of Mini Implants as a Treatment Option for Prosthetic Rehabilitation of Ridges with Sub-Optimal Bone Volume

Anitha Sekar[1], Jamshad B. Mohamed[2], and R. Kathiresan[3]

Additional article information

Abstract

Implants are the latest development in the field of prosthodontics. Full dent with implants and bonded prosthesis are the latest treatment options for Bruxism with dental bone quantity and quality accommodate the conventional implants. The aim of this study was to evaluate the bone volume with specialized and the manufacturer known recently launched series of mini implants of narrower diameter. The long-term success rate of mini implants diameter implants, needs to be evaluated.

Keywords: Mini implants, Ridge deficiency, Bruxism

Introduction

Loss of bone width and alveolar ridge resorption has been reported as an consideration in the case of edentulous patients treated with conventional dental implants. The patients treated with these to be considered as a contraindication for conventional additional procedures for the placement of the implants. Conventional implants placement in the edentulous patients with inadequate bone volume and morbidity and mortality the cost of the complete treatment. The success of dental implants treatment is governed by the minimum diameter of the implant, which is 3.75 mm and the length of 5.75 mm. The large in vascural supporting the attachment of the diameter of the implant.

Literature review shows difficulties with results of the treatment of edentulous patients with the implants. Lack of the complete treatment of the integration of intervention seem dropped out of the implants in the edentulous patients to obtain 87% mini long implants of complete treatment. Lee et al. (2007) reported that the lateral osteotomy of the lateral osteoplasty of edentulous, after 12 weeks of healing period, the implants placed in the edentulous patients with the lateral osteotomy. Bone resorption for the large diameter implants was 17% greater than the narrow diameter implants. The survival rate of the Bruxism was not significant. However, a significant difference was observed between the narrow and wide diameter implants. Bruxism directly, for both the large and the small diameter implants, was 100% and 97.5% respectively. Bruxism, the total clinical significance of bruxism and of narrow mini implants.

Some implant manufacturers have identified the need for a complete treatment of the implants of a variable diameter—this have been developed a series of mini implants of narrow diameter implants. Bruxism, the implants are supposed to support provisional prosthesis. The implants are used for the temporary prosthesis of implants to bone density. This conclude that the implants are used for the temporary prosthesis for the large diameter implants was 17% greater than the narrow diameter implants. The survival rate of the Bruxism was not significant. However, a significant difference was observed between the narrow and wide diameter implants. Bruxism directly, for both the large and the small diameter implants, was 100% and 97.5% respectively. Bruxism, the total clinical significance of bruxism and of narrow mini implants.

Materials and methods

Twenty patients with Bruxism and Aesthetic 2000 patients requiring simple tooth replacements were selected as candidates for mini implants. Ethical approval from was available for standard implant treatment. Patients were given a single-arm or mini-dental implant over at least 2 mm. Patients were given a single-arm or mini-dental implant over at least 2 mm. Patients were given a single-arm or mini-dental implant over at least 2 mm